Approved For Release 2001/04/20 : CIA-RDP61-00017A000400080004-0

25×1A 2-1

FOLDER # TOTAL # CIA DOCS. HEREIN

JUN 4 54

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence

MB.MTT:

Survey of the Office of Training

- 1. In May 1953, I suggested that the Inspector Ceneral make a survey of the Office of Training. My purpose was to obtain an objective analysis of the problems facing this Office and to get his recommendations as to ways and means by which the training effort could be improved.
- 2. The Inspector General's findings provide guidance on many aspects of TIR operations and, in addition, touch on a number of problems which were not made the subject of specific conclusions and recommendations. It seems appropriate, therefore, that I provide you with the following comments on the survey report, covering the Inspector Ceneral's reconmendations, and the related problems which will require further study and decision.

COMMENTS ON INSPECTOR GENERAL'S RECOMMENDATIONS

*Recommendation 1. The T/O of the Office of Training be cut to the level of its ceiling.

OTH Comment: Concur. However, the ceiling should be adjusted if additional requirements are placed upon the Office.

*Recommendation 2. The Office of Training be reorganized into three staffs and six divisions, by a re-grouping of functions which the report describes in detail.

OTR Comment: Do not commur. Final action on reorganization should quait the results of the survey of the Office of Training now being conducted by the Management Staff, and an internal survey conducted by officials of this Office. OTR will subsit a counter-proposal in connection with further ecoments on reorganization.

*Recommendation 3. The T/O of the Office of Training be revised to make the Director of Training a CS-18, the Deputy Director a GS-17, and the Division Chiefs 06-16's. The title be changed from Director of Training to Assistant Director for Training. 4

UM Coment: Concur.

MO CI Girling 1

> Arriba: 1 by Dato: 310128

tone

a heck

*Recognendation 4. A high-level training admissions board composed of representatives of different components be established to periodically screen applicants for training courses to insure that there is no abuse of training activities and to serve as an instrument for effecting an even flow of applicants.

OTH Comment: Concur, with the following reservations. I believe that this problem is diminishing because of action now being taken jointly by OTH, the Training Committees, and the Career Service Boards to control more effectively the selection of candidates for training; more might be accomplished by increasing the stature of training representatives along the lines suggested in Recommendation 16 below; it is not enticipated that such an admission board would have any employ effect on achieving a more even flow of applicants.

*Recommendation 5. A committee composed of one representative each from DD/1, DD/A, DD/P, OTH, and chaired by a representative of the Management Staff, should review all non-OTH training conducted by the Agency. Training best conducted by OTH should be transferred to it. Agent training conducted by the DD/P area divisions should be given at least staff supervision by OTH to insure a minimum of duplication and overlapping.

OFR Comment: Concur with part one; such a review is needed. While I consur in principle with part two of this recommendation, it should be noted that supervision is now effected by senior members of the respective area divisions and appropriate DD/P staffs. Bransfer of supervisory responsibility to the Office of Braining without clearly defining the degree of supervision desired and without commensurate authority would produce ineffectual results.

*Recommendation 6. All training done by other offices, e.g., language of FiD, various projects of ORR, be put under the staff supervision of OER.

Of Comment: Concur in principle, provided OTA is given sufficient A authority to enable it to perform the supervisory function effectively.

Convert: to not concur. I cannot account responsibility for staff of many appropriates over training progress without authority to examine training objectives, determine methods of instruction, prescribe training context, establish training standards, or select the instructional staff.

conten Dil

concur

-

* Hecommondation 8. OTR make a study of what training could be offered to other intelligence agencies directed toward becoming the Creduate School in the intelligence field.

OFR Comment: Concur. Action will be taken.

comen

* Recommendation 9. OTR receive responsibility for coordination of the preparation of all menuals on doctrine.

OR Comment: Concur. This will be the subject of a staff study to be concus submitted separately.

* Recommendation 10. The initial orientation lecture be divided into the present three-hour presentation for junior-level personnel on a weekly basis, but a more apphisticated three-hour orientation lecture once a month for senior-level personnel.

OTR Comment: Concur. Will comply at the earliest possible date.

every

* Recommendation 11. Employees taking language training at Agency expense be required to commit themselves to maintaining proficiency in the language, and that periodic follow-up examinations be given.

OTR Comment: Concur in principle, but I have serious reservations concerning the practical value of such a requirement. The problem of getting a maximum return on the Agency's investment in language training can best be solved by proper selection of candidates for training and proper placement of those trained. This Office is prepared to give follow-up exeminations, upon request, to persons who have had lenguage training.

* Recommendation 12. DD/A interest himself in the unused potential of all types of administrative training under simulated conditions.

OTR Comment: Conour. OTR will cooperate fully with DD/A.

Monor

* Recommendation 13. Increase in the quality and quantity of management and supervisory training; this should receive the personal attention of the Director of Training.

cincur OTR Comment: Concur. I wish to point out, however, that I do not concur in the Inspector General's over-all evaluation of management training activities, because (1) no mention was made of the well established and effective elerical training program; and (2) Management Course A was surveyed while still in the developmental stage, after only one complete performance; it has since been offered five times and has been received with increased enthusiasm.

-

25X1A

*Recommendation 14. Training evaluation mechanisms be formed by giving greater consideration to the desires of the operating components as to what factors, in what fashion, they wish evaluated.

OR Comment: Training evaluations are under continuous study by OTR in an attempt to meet the requirements of all consumers. The immediate " plu supervisor of the trainee is only one of the principal consumers of this information. The format and content of training evaluations are of equal importance to Cereer Service Boards, the Personnel Office, and the Office of Training.

*Recommendation 15. The content and organization of the BIC (I) be reviewed.

OTA Comment: Concur. Specific recommendations have already been adopted.

Evri

*Recommendation 16. The DD/P be advised that the Clandestine Services Training Committee is not satisfactorily performing as a policy and policy enforcement instrument; DD/P be encouraged to appoint a full-time concur staff representative for training matters, to be supported by the Clandestine Services Training Committee,

OTR Comment: Concur, and request the immediate attention of DD/P.

*Reoccurrendation 17. In order to provide for the assignment of the most experienced and competent operational personnel to OTH for towns of instructional duty, their assignment should be authorized at the CS-"which rating they possess regardless of the OS- rating of any vacancies on the OTR table of organization. netwn contui

OTR Comment: Concur, and request immediate approval.

*Recommendation 15. Consideration should be given by DD/P to make available to OTR senior operational individuals returning from the field for a period of time sufficient to allow them to record their experiences and operational views, and additionally to allow them to assist in the presentation of at least one course in their field of specialty.

ennew

OTR Comment: Concur. OTR will submit at an early date a staff study on this subject.

Conclusion 16 in the Inspector General's report implies the desirability of leaving the junior officer training program under the jurisdiction of the Director of Training. Early resolution of this question will dispel present disquisting uncertainties.

II RELATED PROBLEMS

A careful analysis of the report of the survey submitted by the Inspector General reveals certain stated or implied problems which require policy decision or direction. Recommendations for the resolution of these problems are not specifically indicated in the Inspector General's report. I am therefore re-stating the issues in the order of their significance to the Office of Training. Completed staff work on these problems will be submitted as completed, in order to assist you in taking appropriate action.

- At present there is no agreed definition as to linguistic competence or kinds of area knowledge intelligence personnel should possess. There is no firm Agency policy pertaining to required levels of linguistic proficiency or area specialisation to guide operating components in their establishment of realistic training requirements. Decision and emunciation of policy concerning these matters is fundamental to the improvement of the operational competence of the Agency.
- 2. Should the Office of Training assume responsibility for staff supervision of training conducted by other offices of the Agency, an obvious prerequisite is the establishment of commandrate authority by directive. The scope of this problem will be contingent upon the type and extent of staff supervision to be extended by this Office.



4. The extent of responsibility of the Assessment and Evaluation(1A Stair, Office of Training, with regard to its relationship with other components of CIA concerning selection, placement, and other

- 5. The Office of Training has no current responsibility for training conducted overseas. This Office has avoided involvement in the many problems of overseas training except in those instances when specific requests for assistance have been received from DD/P. The need for centralized control of overseas training becomes more and more apparent as the results of separate training projects are examined in terms of economy of effort and over-all effectiveness. Resolution of this problem will require a policy decision concerning the establishment of responsibility and authority for centralized control of overseas training and policy direction concerning the procedures and phasing involved in implementing this decision.
 - 6. Policy decision and direction are required in order to establish qualified senior training representatives at the office level. The relationships between the Office of Training and other offices of this Agency and the improvement of the training effort rest to a great extent on the stature and competence of the training ligison structure.
 - 7. There has been no orderly system established within CIA to provide for the rotation of qualified personnel between the operating components of the Agency and this Office. Establishment of Agency policy on this subject is urgently needed.
 - 3. The development, authentication, and publication of doctrine relating to the conduct of intelligence or executive operations as conducted by a national intelligence agency are extremely complex processes. Policy decision and direction are required in order to define, delineate, and establish responsibility for these furntions.

MATTERN BAIRD Director of Training

OTR/PRS/LBS:dkm (4 Jun 54)

Distribution: Orig & 1 - DCI

1 - IG

1 - Chairman, CS Trg Com

1 - D/TR

1 - PRS files

ILLEGIB

Approved For Release 2001/04/20 : CIA-RDP61-00017A000400080004-0



